

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of) HANSE et al.
Title) THERMAL SHOCK RESISTANT) CASTING ELEMENT AND) MANUFACTURING PROCESS) THEREOF
Attorney's Docket)) 1396 US

To: Mail Stop: RCE

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Express Mail Certificate

Date of Deposit: MAY31, 2006

To: Assistant Commissioner for Patents

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" under 37 C.F.R. 1.10 on the date indicated above and was addressed to Mail Stop: RCE, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Express Mail number EQ 688601445 US

Signature of person mailing correspondence

Thomas C. Dunford

Name of person mailing correspondence



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/509,501	09/28/2004	Eric Hanse	1396 US/PCT	4020
75	90 05/15/2006		EXAMINER	
Robert S Klen	nz Jr		LIN, ING HOUR	
Vesuvius 4604 Campbells	s Run Road		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
Piesburgh, PA	15205	1725		
To			DATE MAILED: 05/15/2006	;
NY 3 1 2000			,	
& TRALIEN MARKET				
TO THE WALLES				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

OIPE			
4	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	10/509,501	HANSE ET AL.	
Before the Fijing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
The control of the co	Ing-Hour Lin	1725	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 02 May 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of a ving replies: (1) an amendment, affi tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	Appeal. To avoid abar fidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 CF	rce, which FR 41.31: or (3)
 a)	J date of the final rejection. Avisory Action, or (2) the date set forth.	in the final rejection, whi	ichover is later. In
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (i TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	E FIRST REPLY WAS FI	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) Is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount of the chartened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Offic te of the final rejection, e	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as even if timely filed,
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extenda Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed. 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of e appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed ofter a final rejection.		444 44 44 44 44	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	•		
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.11	offesponding number of anally rejected and 41,33(a)).	scied ciaims.	
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be alk non-allowable claim(s). 			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provious The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	will not be entered, or b) □ will ided below or appended.	be entered and an ex	xplanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>10-21</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected: 10-21. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			•
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	sufficient reasons why the affidavit	it or other evidence is	necessary and
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	/ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and was not earlier presented. Se	ıl and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after en	try is below or attache	∍d.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 		•	ce because:
2. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (F3. ☐ Other:	2TO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No	o(s)	
o. <u></u> .	4.70° 140.	KERNS Kevink	C. Aluki
		EXAMINER	2Ms 3/11/00
	·		

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

(2)

Application No. 10/509,501

Continuation of 3. NOTE: In claim10, "a pouring shroud" and "and wherein the pouring shroud is suitable for use prior to being preheated" raise new issues that would require further consideration and search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In claim10, "a pouring shroud" and "and wherein the pouring shroud is suitable for use prior to being preheated" raise new issues that would require further consideration and search.

KEVIN KERNS Yevin King 5/11/06 PRIMARY EXAMINER